		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	arv	10/812,201	PUSHPANGADAN ET AL.
	ar y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Susan D. Coe	1655
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Susan D. Coe</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Michael Haukaas</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 March 2006</u>		Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	_ ''	nt's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: 15, 18, 20, 23			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH Mr. Haukaas agreed to the examiner's amendment.	IE GENEF	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. The eparate r	e examiner will provide a writt ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (	Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)